

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
Annual Petition Summary Report

Date Range: 1st April, 2018 to 31st March, 2019

25 - Paper Petitions

5 - ePetitions

Paper Petition Title	Signatures	Date Responded / Response
18-03 - Object to SBC Proposed Plans to Cut Grass Verge for Parking Spaces Opposite 172-206 London Road	22	<p>24th May 2018 Thank you for your paper petition received on the 8th May 2018.</p> <p>Slough Borough Council (SBC) as a Highway Authority in conjunction with elected members of the area (Councillors) were investigating and considering introducing parking spaces as outlined in the drawing you provided. The scheme was brought about by a request from members to consider new parking places due to inconsiderate drivers parking on the grass verge. The investigation was still being considered when we received your petition. The members of the area requested SBC to consider "at any time waiting restrictions" instead of parking spaces. SBC is considering this request as it involves the process of undertaking Traffic Regulation Orders (TRO's).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In regard to your comments about the petition and the issues you raised in chronological order: SBC follow due process when investigating any scheme whether it will be implemented or not, (this may involve a feasibility study or detail design (or both) depending on the complexity of the scheme. I would like to bring to your attention that the plan you provided was obtained from the members of the area who were consulting with some of the residents to promote the scheme/seek their views. • The public highway can consist of a carriageway, footpath, pavement and grassed verge and in law nobody has any right to do anything on the highway except to pass and re-pass (i.e. to travel along the road or footway). SBC as the Highway Authority manages the roads and may make any changes or place controls on where vehicles can wait/park aligned to the parking guidance provided in the Highway Code, for reasons of improving general road safety and enhancing free flowing movement of traffic within the borough. • You raised issues relating to enforcement and resources, some of which are managed by the Council and others by the police, SBC is monitoring different areas at any given time and when necessary the enforcement will be taken through the issuing of penalty charge notices (PCN's). • SBC will endeavour to contact the Bus operator to seek clarification on this issue. • Air quality is an issue around the borough and something we take seriously however the widening works forming part of the SMArt scheme have been checked and there were no impacts in terms of air, if there is an increase in noise please can you clarify where this has come from? • The scheme originated from the elected members; there are no further investigations or promoted schemes besides those stated above.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Council has a policy of consulting residents and businesses when undertaking works on the highway which could impact on them. In the case of the cycleway this was raised by residents as a safety issue and was addressed as part of the SMaRT works. • I believe the planning approval was granted for the above site on its own merit following planning policies and criteria. • The Council cannot make comment on house prices. • The slip road is a “public highway” and if there is a lighting issue this should be raised with your local Councillor. • Requests for amendments/improvements on the highway are considered by SBC on their own merits and these should be forwarded to members for consideration in the first instance. • The request can be forwarded to your local members who can submit the proposals to SBC for consideration. • The request for the proposed “at any time waiting restrictions” have been received from the members, this will be investigated and if possible the process of TRO’s will be undertaken. <p>Please do not hesitate to contact Slough Borough Council for any further information.</p>
18-04 - Nuisance Business Parking on Salt Hill Avenue	25	<p>19th June 2018 Thank you for submitting the attached petition.</p> <p>The obstructive parking you have mentioned is a borough wide problem but it can be combatted through the implementation of the Pavement Parking Scheme. This scheme involves an engineer visiting the reported locations and designing a scheme which prevents vehicles from being able to park obstructively on the road, pavements, junctions and bends. This would be addressed through a combination of prohibiting parking on narrow pavements, junctions and bends, and permitting parking with 2 wheels up on pavements that were wide enough to accommodate it. If this is something that interests you and the other residents then this would need to be discussed with your local ward councillors (in addition to the petition you had submitted) and we can then add it onto our list for consideration.</p> <p>Please see the following link for more information on this process; http://www.slough.gov.uk/parking-travel-and-roads/obstructive-parking.aspx</p> <p>If I can help with any other parking related queries in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-05 - Wylands Road Parking Issues	31	<p>13th June 2018</p> <p>Thank you for your paper petition received on 6th June 2018 regarding Wylands Road bollards around the green area. Slough Borough Council (SBC) would like to clarify about non-notification of the works carried out by the contractor which was mentioned. SBC policy is to notify residents affected on any works carried on the highway network subject to:</p>

		<p>a) The work will take more than 2 days or will not be completed for more than 1 week, b) The work is inconveniencing road users of the area,</p> <p>The installation of bollards was necessary in order to protect the green area and was supported by the ward member. SBC as the Highway Authority acted in order to protect the highway verge which has been used for parking by residents. The prohibition of parking in the green areas within the Highway and Housing land across the borough has been consistent although on some occasions motorists have been ignoring. The work was deemed not to inconvenience road users and was undertaken within two days.</p> <p>In regard to vandalism happening in your garages: this should be reported to the police who will deal with criminal activities in your area. In regard to refuse and recycling collection vehicles and emergency vehicles having difficulties to manoeuvre within the Wylands Road: SBC will continue to monitor the situation and will continue to work with Thames Valley Police on anti-social behaviour and crime in order for residents to feel that their property is safe.</p> <p>Please do not hesitate to contact SBC for any further information.</p>
18-06 - Permit Holders - Parking Request College Avenue	26	<p>27th July 2018</p> <p>I am writing to you in response to the above petition regarding your request for a residents parking scheme on College Avenue.</p> <p>I can confirm we have designed a residents parking scheme for the following roads; College Avenue, Martin Road, Kings Road, King Edward Street, Ragstone Road (the section between Kings Road and Windsor Road) and 160-196 Windsor Road Service Road, and the consultation has started today.</p> <p>The consultation process will last for 21 days and we will be accepting representations until Friday 17th August, 2018. A plan showing the details of the scheme can be viewed at our following offices:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • St Martins Place, 51 Bath Road, Slough, SL1 3UF; • My Council, Landmark Place, High Street, Slough, SL1 1JL; and • Library @ The Curve, William Street, Slough, SL1 1XY <p>Furthermore you can email us at parking@slough.gov.uk to request a copy of the plan to be sent electronically.</p> <p>If you would like to make representation all correspondence should be sent to: Parking Development Slough Borough Council St Martins Place 51 Bath Road Slough SL1 3UF</p>

		<p>Or email to: parking@slough.gov.uk</p> <p>If you have any questions regarding this then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-07 - Removal of Double Yellow Lines - Arkwright Road	22	<p>17th August 2018</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition in which you have requested for the double yellow lines on Arkwright Road to be removed.</p> <p>I can confirm this request has been added onto the waiting restrictions request list 2018-2019 for consideration. This list will close at the end of September 2018 and after this all the requests will be looked into further.</p> <p>If I can help with any other queries in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18.08 - Unsociable Parking of Recovery Vans on Lascelles Road	163	<p>7th August 2018</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition regarding commercial vehicles parking on Lascelles Road.</p> <p>The only way to restrict this kind of parking is through the introduction of parking restrictions; however this would also then have an impact on the residents. I understand from previous conversations with my colleague, that this is not something the residents want and therefore unfortunately there is no other action that we can take.</p> <p>I understand you may be unhappy with my response and for this I apologise, however I would recommend speaking with your ward Councillors and other residents regarding the option of parking restrictions to see if this is something the residents would consider.</p> <p>If I can help with anything else in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-09 - Parking Permits on Norway Drive	11	<p>14th August 2018</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition in which a residents parking scheme has been requested outside 10 - 32 Norway Drive.</p> <p>I can confirm I have added this request to our list for parking restrictions to be considered. We are in discussions with the local ward Councillors regarding funding for this scheme and as soon as this matter has been finalised, we will ensure a scheme is designed.</p> <p>If you require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-10 - Chalvey Road East – Request for Permit Holders Parking	45	<p>15th August 2018</p> <p>I am writing in response to your petition in which you have requested a residents parking scheme on Chalvey Road East. You have also provided further comments regarding the proposed residents parking schemes on Ragstone Road, College Avenue and Martin Road which is currently out to consultation.</p>

		<p>I can confirm all your comments have been logged as a formal representation. The consultation will close on Friday 17th August, 2018 and after this date we will be collating and analysing all the consultation responses and a decision will be made on how best to proceed. Once a decision has been made, we will write to you with the outcome and any points you have raised will also be addressed.</p> <p>If you have any further questions about this in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-11 - Ragstone Road Request for Parking Permits	60	<p>13th September 2018</p> <p>I am writing to you in response to your petition in which you have requested a residents parking scheme and also highlighted some road safety concerns on Ragstone Road.</p> <p>I can confirm your request for a residents parking scheme on Ragstone Road has been logged as a formal representation to the consultation that has recently been conducted. The consultation has now ended and we will shortly be collating and analysing all the consultation responses and after this, a decision will be made on how best to proceed. Once a decision has been made, we will write to you with the outcome and any points you have raised will also be addressed.</p> <p>With regards to points (ii) the removal of traffic calming and (iii) reducing the speed limit to 20 mph and the provision of a zebra crossing facility, I have referred your enquiry to the Transport Strategy team and have received the following response.</p> <p>As a general consideration we would not remove traffic calming measures along the entire stretch of Ragstone Road, however we are aware of some known instances of vehicles colliding with traffic calming measures at specific points along this road and therefore will revisit the scheme to see if this can be resolved. Similarly, although 20 mph speed limits are a useful traffic calming measure they are not a popular option, as was the case for this area when it was proposed as an option several years ago. Greater consideration and buy-in is required from the community in addition to the residents immediately affected. A zebra crossing facility is usually introduced where there is significant pedestrian demand or where an anticipated growth of pedestrian activity is likely, such as the immediate vicinity of a school, or at or near a commercial or transport hub. Ragstone Road does not seem to qualify and there are currently no identified sources of funding for a scheme targeted at the area, however due to the issues and concerns raised the team has been assigned to revisit the area and consider if some changes are needed.</p> <p>This is at a very early stage and therefore we are unable to provide any further detail other than to say the points raised would be considered by a traffic engineer.</p> <p>If you have any other questions regarding the above information then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>

18-12 - Residents Parking Problems - Uxbridge Road	25	<p>13th September 2018</p> <p>I am writing to you with regards to the petition which has been submitted in which a request has been made for a residents permit parking scheme in front of the even numbered properties on Uxbridge Road.</p> <p>I can confirm this request has been added onto our waiting restrictions request list 2018-2019 for parking restrictions to be considered. This list will close at the end of this month and shortly after this all requests on this list will be looked into further.</p> <p>If you have any questions regarding this in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-13 - Re-instate Free Swimming Sessions for Over 60s – Langley Leisure Centre	274	<p>12th September 2018</p> <p>I am writing to formally respond to your paper petition which was received on 16th August, 2018.</p> <p>In May 2017 Langley Leisure Centre closed to the public to undergo a substantial refurbishment programme. At the same time the council was involved in a competitive tendering process to appoint a new leisure provider to operate and manage the council's new leisure facilities for the next ten years. Everyone Active was appointed as the Council's preferred leisure operator and took over the management of the council's leisure facilities in June 2017. It was always the intention of the council to stop paying any subsidy to a new leisure operator as this was not financially sustainable in the current financial climate. Therefore the ongoing provision of free swimming sessions, which were previously subsidised by the council at a cost of £20,000 per annum, was not an option when Langley re-opened to the public in July 2018.</p> <p>One free session a week is still being offered at Montem Leisure Centre on a Thursday 11am-12pm for the over 50's ('Young at Heart' session). This was a goodwill gesture from Everyone Active but will end in March 2019 when the centre closes.</p> <p>At Langley, Everyone Active has agreed to offer a reduced rate of £2.00 for an over 50's swim on Tuesday 10am-11am (the normal price for this type of session is £3.50 for a concession & £4.95 adult) and this is proving popular as it also includes use of the spa and steam room facilities.</p> <p>I note that your petition contains signatures of individuals who live in various areas of the borough (and some who do not live in Slough) therefore the session at Montem would be a valid alternative for those unable to afford the subsidised rate of £2.00 at Langley Leisure Centre. The free and subsidised swimming sessions at Langley and Montem are for Slough residents only as we are unable to offer subsidised activity to people who do not live in the borough.</p> <p>I know it is disappointing that Slough Borough Council is no longer financially able to support a free swimming session at Langley but hope that the reduced cost of £2 will not be a barrier for residents to continue taking part in this popular swimming session.</p> <p>In response to your petition, I will be working in partnership with Everyone Active to look at further</p>

		<p>opportunities to enhance programmes and sessions for older residents in the borough at subsidised rates. I will also ensure that local people are given the opportunity to contribute to these discussions and would welcome your input into this.</p> <p>Can I also bring to your attention a diverse programme of alternative activities that run regularly, at various venues across the borough, delivered by my 'Active Communities' team. Many of these sessions are free to participants. Details of this can be found on the following link: http://www.slough.gov.uk/leisure-parks-and-events/active-slough.aspx</p> <p>Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further enquiries.</p>
18-14 - Hawker Court – Car Parking	17	<p>16th October 2018</p> <p>I write in response to the tenant's petition received at our office on the 14th September, 2018 and our subsequent acknowledgement letter on the same date.</p> <p>I am sorry about the incident of the car park and it was good that the case was reported to the police, my understanding on the day was that, they will review the CCTV and investigate the case; hopefully they will identify the culprit.</p> <p>I have reported the uneven pavement to our maintenance and I have been assured that the work will be completed on 14th November, 2018.</p> <p>We have contacted the Council's Parking Team and have requested that this location is added to their work programme for this financial year. They have agreed this and will now lead on carrying out all the necessary investigations, design work and consultation to introduce a parking scheme that will allow tenants to park more easily. They may be able to redesign the area to allow more spaces to be created. Over the next few months the Parking team will be in contact with residents directly about this scheme.</p> <p>You will recollect in one of my meetings with the tenants that, I strongly advise against putting barriers in place, due to emergency access, barriers is an obstruction in an emergency, we will not be able to install it..</p> <p>Should you wish to discuss this further, I am happy to come and meet all the tenants, please let me know the best time to come.</p>
18-15 - Montem Leisure Centre Car Parking - Time Limit and Penalty Charges for Members	271	<p>12th October 2018</p> <p>I am writing to you with regards to the petition which has been submitted on 24th September where a request has been made for the three hours free parking limit to be doubled. It was also requested that existing fully paid members of the leisure centre should not be making a manual data entry of their car registration on a daily basis.</p> <p>The ANPR system was introduced to alleviate problems with unauthorised vehicles being parked at Montem Car Park and ensure a better parking facility for its visitors. Prior to introducing this</p>

		<p>system the leisure centre was consulted in relation to their member's usage of the car park whilst using the leisure facilities. Following receipt of the petition we have contacted the leisure provider who confirmed that 3 hours free parking is sufficient for most of their members. Therefore we would like to advise that should any leisure member need to park longer in the Montem Car Park whilst using the leisure facilities, they should inform the receptionist at the centre who will accommodate their request and the few that do stay longer are always accommodated with a longer parking provision.</p> <p>With regards to the suggestion that the system should not require leisure centre members to manually enter the car registration information, unfortunately it would not be economical to invest in upgrading or changing the system at this present time, as Montem Leisure Centre will close when the new leisure centre opens early next year.</p> <p>We can confirm that since the introduction of this system the oversubscription due to non leisure & SBC members parking in our facilities, there has been significant improvements. Whilst I understand your frustration I can only apologise for the inconvenience caused, we are continuously working to provide a safe, secure and appropriate environment for the benefit of all service users. I would also like to take this opportunity to let you know that we will pass on your feedback to the relevant parties to discuss the requirements for increasing the 3 hour limit so that this can be taken into account when a different system is installed in the new leisure centre.</p>
18-16 - Lorne Close Residents Only Parking	16	<p>23rd October 2018</p> <p>I am writing to you in response to the above petition in which a residents permit parking scheme has been requested on Lorne Close.</p> <p>I can confirm this request has been placed on the waiting restrictions request list 2018-2019 which will be reviewed in the coming months and also sent to the ward members for authorisation. As lead petitioner we will keep you updated on the progress of this request.</p> <p>If you have any questions regarding this in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-17 - Brammas Close Residents - Against Paying Service Charges	20	<p>19th October 2018</p> <p>I am contacting you with reference to the paper petition that you issued to the council, from the residents of Brammas Close.</p> <p>I have investigated the issues raised regarding the maintenance of the block and can inform you as follows:</p> <p><u>Communal Walkway Lighting</u></p> <p>Our contractor Osborne attended the block on the 16th October and have confirmed there is a wiring issue that requires further remedial works to rectify it. Due to the extent of the works required a follow on visit has been arranged for the 26th October 2018.</p>

		<p><u>Caretaking</u></p> <p>I have been advised that caretaking is carried out on this block every Monday, walkways are swept, stairs are swept and mopped and external litter picking is carried out including the bin areas. As the walkways are open to the elements they are only mopped when necessary.</p> <p>I have been informed that this block has been visited every week since 2nd July, 2018 by the Estates Services Officers the only week that this block was missed was week commencing 30th August 2018.</p> <p><u>Doors</u></p> <p>I have been informed that the communal door was last reported to be broken on the middle of September and was attended by the specialist contractor and resolved. Osborne have looked at the job history and it seems that there is an ongoing problem with doors being vandalised, there is no service failure as the contractor have attended and carried out repairs accordingly.</p> <p>Regarding the petition from residents against paying service charges, I wish to inform you that service charges are due as per the terms and conditions of your lease, if you require further information regarding the payment of service charges, please refer to the Summary Rights of Obligations as issued with your statement and invoice.</p> <p>Due to the confidentiality of individual service charge statements, I can only discuss the charges with the leaseholder of the property; I will therefore enclose a separate letter with a breakdown of the repairs carried out to the block.</p> <p>I hope this response answers the points raised by you and those that signed the petition.</p> <p>Should you require any further information regarding your property, please do not hesitate to contact Leasehold Services at the address as below, or via e-mail to Leaseholdservices@slough.gov.uk. If I can be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me again.</p>
18-18 - Claycots Town Hall Campus – Pedestrian Crossing	406	<p>23rd November 2018</p> <p>Thank you for your petition handed to one of our officers and administered by Democratic Services on 22nd October, 2018 regarding a Pedestrian Crossing at the Claycots School (Town Hall Campus).</p> <p>We have been aware of issues with pedestrians and school users crossing at Montem Lane and hence introduced a School Crossing Patrol (SCP) to operate during school times. Unfortunately the role has been vacant for a significant period of time since the last SCP resigned and remains to be filled. Although we have been in the process of recruiting, unfortunately due to the nature of the role have found it difficult to attract applicants and find a suitable replacement. We are still actively pursuing this and hope to have someone in post imminently. The latest recruitment drive indicates yielding a more promising conclusion and we hope to have an SCP in operation in due course.</p>

		<p>In addition, we are exploring a pedestrian or zebra crossing facility and whether it would be suitable for this location based on current and expected future demand during am and pm peaks. We are aiming to evaluate potential solutions as part of the upcoming regeneration of the Montem Leisure Centre and St Martins Place. As part of these projects we would look to consider and evaluate some options and incorporate delivery as part of these larger schemes.</p> <p>I hope this information helps, and please do not hesitate to contact us with any follow up enquiries should you have them, at TfS@slough.gov.uk.</p>
18-19 - Removal of Yellow Lines - Thirkleby Close	56	<p>19th November 2018</p> <p>I am writing to you in response to the above paper petition which was received on Monday 22nd October, 2018.</p> <p>Since receiving this petition we have conducted several site visits which have shown the new double yellow lines to be necessary to assist with visibility and the flow of traffic at this location. However we have taken your comments on board and have therefore made the decision to continue monitoring this location for the next 2 months and as lead petitioner, we will write to you with the final outcome after this period has elapsed. During this time we will also be liaising with Ameys to ascertain if they are still having any issues during refuse collections.</p> <p>If you have any further questions in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
18-20 - Information Requests – Planned Development Works on 1-11A Moreton Way	13	<p>30th November 2018</p> <p>I write to you and all the petitioners regarding the 1 – 11a Moreton Way Bases site and apologise for the delay in responding to your petition.</p> <p>The project leads considered your concerns and have reviewed the planned proposal for that site. Consequently, instead of making the parking area accessible to the general public, it has been decided to restrict its use to only authorised, licenced users to ensure that parking complications on that street are alleviated. Further outcomes of the review are outlined below.</p> <p>Individual structures on the bases</p> <p>The bases on this site were originally rented out as bases, without structures. Over the years, individuals opted to put up structures and subsequent users continued to use them. However, on terminating the licences for the use of the bases, they are expected to be returned to the council in the state they were originally designed. As a result, a licenced user of a base has the option to take down the garage/structure and remove it from site to enable vacant possession of the base to the council. The development project will demolish the structure/garage if it has not been removed but the council will not be paying any compensation as the garage/structure is the responsibility of the licensee.</p> <p>Development works on the site</p> <p>When the structures have been demolished and or removed, the existing bases will be retained.</p>

		<p>A top coat of 40mm tarmac across the forecourt and bases to fall as close to the existing drainage as possible will be laid down. A new concrete post and panel fence with concrete base panels will be installed to the rear of the garages to form a new permanent barrier to the garage site. White lines will be painted on the new surfaces to form parking spaces for the site. Lockable bollards will be provided for each space. Please note that the panel fence will not be as high as the existing structures and will not obscure sunlight or the view from the properties along the street any more than the existing structures may currently do. Plans for this site have not yet been drawn up and can be made available in the future on request.</p> <p>Licenced use of the site</p> <p>The proposal is that we will give first refusal as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Residents that are already renting from the council an existing base on this site ➤ Residents whose properties adjoin the site ➤ Local residents within the immediate location of the garage site ➤ Local residents that have expressed an interest in a parking space in the area <p>This will be a sliding scale to give local residents the best opportunity to secure a parking space on the site.</p> <p>Driveway for houses 19 – 25</p> <p>Regretfully, this request is not within the scope of this project. For further information about it, please go to the council website and either use “dropped crossings” in the search engine or send an e-mail to tfs@slough.gov.uk.</p> <p>We trust that the changes made to the proposed development on this site reassure you and your fellow petitioners that your concerns have been addressed and resolved.</p>
18-21 - Opposed to the Occupancy of Sheds at 128 & 130 Shaggy Calf Lane	14	To Follow
18-22 - Objection to Bradley Road Double Yellow Lines	212	<p>8th January 2019</p> <p>I am writing to you in response to the above paper petition which was recently received.</p> <p>I have added Bradley Road to the waiting restrictions request list 2018-2019 to consider amending the parking restrictions. This list will be reviewed in the coming months and as lead petitioner I will be in touch with you as soon as I have any updates on this.</p> <p>If you have any questions in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
19-01 - Sheehy Way Car Park	63	<p>25th January 2019</p> <p>Further to your petition letter dated the 14th January, 2019 I am able to respond as follows:</p> <p>The Council is not currently exploring the development and subsequent loss of the car park on Sheehy Way having withdrawn its planning application last year.</p>

		<p>The Council are currently in discussion with the Gudwara on Sheehy Way who have expressed an interest in taking over management/ownership of the site in order to secure its on going use as a car park.</p> <p>If you require any further information or an update please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
19-02 - Wylands Road Parking Bays	22	<p>29th January 2019</p> <p>I am writing in response to the above petition in which you have requested additional parking bays and a residents permit parking scheme in Wylands Road.</p> <p>The request for additional parking bays must be made via your local ward Councillors however with regards to your request for a residents permit parking scheme, I can confirm I have added this to the waiting restrictions request list 2019-2020 for consideration. This list will close end of September 2019 and after this date all requests on this list will be sent to the ward Councillors for approval.</p> <p>If you have any questions regarding the residents permit parking scheme in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
19-03 - Turton Way Residents Parking	25	<p>8th February 2019</p> <p>Through your petition and covering letter you have raised serval issues that are managed via differing Council Departments. I hope that the following is reasonable response to those issues raised.</p> <p><u>Planning Permission and Parking Problems</u></p> <p>You have asked for Slough Borough Council to reconsider “the proposed planned development on the Turton Way Garage Site.” The decision to allow this redevelopment of the site was taken by the Planning Committee in December 2017. Comments and objections raised about parking in Turton Way were formally considered by the Planning Committee. However, we have listened to your concerns about the pressure of parking around Turton Way and want to outline the current parking provision and our plans for more parking in the near future.</p> <p><u>On street parking in Chalvey</u></p> <p>A number of streets and locations in Chalvey where people can park for free. This is not allocated parking and it is available on a “first come, first served” basis. The place where this parking is available includes Brammas Close, Spackmans Way, Clive Court, The Green, White Hart Road and Primary Road.</p> <p><u>Garages in Chalvey</u></p> <p>If you would rather rent a garage from us in Chalvey please contact Southteam2@slough.gov.uk to find out which garages are available. The garage locations are in Brammas Close and</p>

		<p>Spackmans Way. If we have a garage in a location that is suitable for you we will be able to offer a rent free period of 6 weeks. After the 6 week period you can continue to use the garage at our standard rent charge, or you will be asked to vacate the garage. From 1 April 2019 the rent of a standard garage for council tenants and leaseholders will be £9.72 per week.</p> <p><u>On street parking on Turton Way</u></p> <p>We will be changing the restriction on this road which will include removing the limited waiting bays and replacing with double yellow lines. This will allow us to then remove some of the double yellow lines on the side of the council-owned flats to create unrestricted kerb space. We anticipate that these changes will create up to 10 un-allocated car spaces for anyone to park. This process will take around 6 weeks to make the necessary changes to the road-markings and Traffic Orders (to stop enforcement action). This new parking will also be available on a "first come, first served" basis and cannot be reserved for particular cars.</p> <p><u>Additional parking on the ex-garage site</u></p> <p>As part of the housing development on the ex-garage site there will be 4 free parking spaces created. Like the current parking provision in Chalvey these spaces will be available on a "first come, first served" basis.</p> <p>Whilst we understand the pressures on parking throughout Slough, we understand that until the changes are in effect there will be added pressure on parking around Turton Way. We thank you for your patience at this time and apologise that this information was not available and relayed to you before the fencing was put in place. Thank you for your co operation in going forward to allow this new housing development and we appreciate your help in this matter.</p>
19-04 - Stoke Road - Proposed Parking Restrictions	28	<p>6th March 2019</p> <p>Thank you for your petition in response to the proposed parking restrictions in Stoke Road, I can confirm this has been logged as a formal representation.</p> <p>The consultation has now closed and we are in the process of collating and analysing all the consultation responses after which a decision will be made on how best to proceed. Once a decision has been made, we will write to you with the outcome and any points you have raised will also be addressed.</p> <p>If you have any questions in the meantime then please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
19-05 - Parking Issues at Slough Cricket Club	36	To Follow

ePetition Title	Signatures	Date Responded / Response
New Home for Slough Gymnastics Club	1608	To Follow
Build more cycle friendly infrastructure	0	<p>30th November 2018 With reference to your Online petition request – Build More Cycle Friendly Infrastructure</p> <p>The Council is not able to accept this petition as it is has fallen below the minimum threshold of 10 signatories.</p>
Not to Park in Pavement area - Glyncroft Lane	1	<p>15th October 2018 With reference to your Online petition request – Not to Park in Pavement Area – Glyncroft Lane</p> <p>The Council is not able to accept this petition as it is has fallen below the minimum threshold of 10 signatories.</p>
Odour Nuisance in Cippenham	0	<p>30th September 2018 With reference to your Online petition request – Odour Nuisance in Cippenham</p> <p>The Council is not able to accept this petition as it is has fallen below the minimum threshold of 10 signatories.</p>